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Mid-1980s: US HLT R&D awakening from the AI winter spurred by the ALPAC report and “W(h)ither Speech Recognition” letter to JASA

Pierce [28, 29] combined laudable goals
- accurately estimate demand for translation in the market & remove obstructions in supply chain

with claims that now seem myopic
- implicitly rejected that information be available regardless of language of publication / readers
- explicitly rejected the case for voice control of technology

leading to a 10+ year hiatus in HLT funding at DARPA for example [19]

Re-awakening included focus on common task research management paradigm:
- multiple teams work in parallel, cooperating and competing
- well defined, quantifiable goals
- shared data
- regular (also frequent) evaluation by neutral party using objective, pre-determined criteria
- workshop to discuss objectives, challenges, data, approaches, results, evaluation criteria
- course corrections as needed; virtuous cycle until goals reached or funding spent [19]
- culture of knowledge, resource sharing attracting research even absent funding [9].
Early 1990’s: Optimism and Recognition of the Critical Importance of Data

- “useful present-day systems and realistic expectations of progress”
- “Not even the largest companies can easily afford … data to satisfy their … needs”
- “… smaller companies and in universities risk being frozen out of the process almost entirely” [18]
- “growing worldwide awareness of the need for … publicly available common corpora” [14]
- Existing LRs closely held, unevenly distributed reinforcing schisms, impeding progress

Solution

- enable LR sharing at scale; meet current & anticipate future needs.
- create organization to focus on acquiring, curating and distributing LRs
- centralize distribution function, technologies, skills to improve quality and reduce cost through scale
- open RFP; UPenn selected to host, seed funding from DARPA; early support from NSF, NIST
“distributing previously created datasets, and funding or co-funding the development of new ones” [18]

- requirement to become self-supporting through membership, data licensing fees
- 1992-1995: focused exclusively on corpus distribution
- AB with members from the non-profit, government and commercial sectors defined the LDC business model that is still in effect today with small adaptations
- Consortium = a kind of mutual aid society
  - members provide support in the form of membership fees & data contributions
  - receive access to many, many more datasets than any one member could hope to create
  - LDC can also license most corpora but 95% of Members (who embraced Consortium model) report satisfaction [30]
- Catalog >900 corpora in 107 linguistic varieties, including recent additions in Dari, Georgian, Icelandic, Kazakh, Kurdish, Nahuatl, Persian, Pashto, Russian, Turkish Ukrainian, Uzbek, Zulu
- Developed by and/or used within 91 research programs including the following:
  - Large multisite programs sponsored by DARPA, IARPA and other agencies: AIDA, AQUAINT, BEST, BOLT, Communicator, DEFT, EARS, GALE, HARD, HAVIC, Hub4, Hub5-LVCSR, KAIROS, LCTL, LORELEI, Machine Reading, MADCAT, MED, RATS, ROAR, SPINE, TDT, TIDES, Tipster, Transtac
  - NIST evaluation campaigns: LRE, ACE, MT, OpenHaRT, OpenSAD, OpenSAT, RT, SRE, TAC/KBP, TREC, TRECVid
  - community organized evaluations: CoNLL, SemEval, SIGHAN
to support 75 target applications, the most common of which are:

- entity, event, relation extraction & coreference
- handwriting recognition
- information retrieval
- knowledge base population
- language identification
- language modeling
- machine translation
- parsing, POS tagging & other NLP
- pronunciation modeling
- question-answering
- semantic role labelling
- sentiment detection
- speaker diarization, identification
- speech activity detection
- speech recognition
- summarization
Inflation Adjusted Cost of Standard LDC Membership, Normalized to Original Fee

- **Inflation Adjustment**
- **Normalized Adjusted Fee**
Locally implemented, innovated methods for collecting text from:
- news sources, journals, financial and biomedical documents
- internet sources including newsgroups, blogs, microblogs, comment threads and discussion forums
- text interactions via email, chat and SMS
- scans or images of documents containing printed or handwritten text or both.

and audiovisual data from:
- broadcast news and conversation, podcasts
- conversational telephone speech
- lectures, interviews, meetings, field interviews
- read, prompted & task oriented speech, role play
- speech in noise
- web video and directly contributed amateur video
- animal vocalizations
- digitized analog media including interviews in a variety of tape formats
- two way radio speech characterized by severe channel noise
◆ requiring creation of new hardware software solutions including:
  ● satellite downlink node on the Voice of America network to collect multilingual broadcast news [10]
  ● fully automated platform for collecting broadcast audio, video & processing through ASR & MT
  ● subsequent miniaturization of platform to enable outsourcing of collection to international partners [34]
  ● platforms to collect telephone calls; also miniaturized, modularized, deployed and managed remotely
  ● interfaces to let users upload SMS archive, remove sensitive messages before contributing
  ● digitization station that can accept input from most common legacy analog media players
  ● platform to broadcast and receive, and optionally degrade, clean audio for which we had transcripts

◆ and new annotation tools
  ● often highly customized, task-specific local tools [20]
  ● but shifting over the past 10 years, to web-based tools, especially LDC webann [35]
  ● customized front ends, that appear to users as distinct tools
  ● same underlying database schema & project management umbrella
  ● allowed remote work when necessary, especially starting March 2020
  ● expanded beyond the needs of common tasks programs evolved into Universal Annotator (UA)
  ● basis for NIEUW portals, webtrans, a comprehensive audio transcription application
  ● used for O(1000 tasks, 1000 users, 10,000,000 annotations)
Data Collection & Annotation: Recent Efforts

- We Can Talk: SR corpus of speech from telephone and video for >200 multilingual speakers of Cantonese plus Mandarin and/or English
- CAMIO (Corpus of Annotated Multilingual Images for OCR): ~70,000 text images, 35 languages, 24 unique scripts, most annotated for text localization yielding >2.3M bounding boxes, transcripts ~16,000 images in 13 languages, yielding >2.4M tokens.
- KASET (Kurmanji and Sorani Speech Transcripts): ~350 hours of broadcast & telephone speech in two Kurdish varieties, plus transcripts of ~ 65 hours
- COSINE (Corpus of Speech in Natural Environments): ~500 hours of audio from multiple genres in Indonesian, Korean, Mandarin, Modern Standard Arabic (MSA), Russian, plus transcripts for ~300 hours & translations for ~75 hours
- AIDA (Active Interpretation of Disparate Alternatives): multimedia documents in Russian, Ukrainian, Spanish, English covering current event scenarios, with focus on disinformation and conflicting claims, ERE annotated with cross-document coreference, and annotation of the relations among events and claims
- KAIROS (Knowledge-directed Artificial Intelligence Reasoning Over Schemas): ~15M-document Schema Learning Corpus, supporting induction of high-level representations of complex events across many domains. The eval set includes documents related to IED attacks, disease outbreaks, etc with annotation of EREs and temporally-ordered components of each incident
Recognizing that LRs remain in short supply after years of concentrated effort, we have begun to work on novel incentives in data collection, with NSF support.

- Online communities show that people will spend time providing language data given sufficient motivation and appropriate tools: Wikipedia, Project Gutenberg, LibriVox but also social media sites.

1st effort: NameThatLanguage game eliciting judgements of language spoken in short audio clips.

- Input >=80 clips for each of 13 languages plus 5400 clips suspected to be in one of 9 languages.
- To date: results of ~720,000 HITs presented to ~46,000 unique player IDs (86% usable).
- Aggregation of (mostly) non-expert player guesses predicts correct answer >98%.
- When player pool does not converge, clip is not in the suspected language 96% [11].
Data Collection & Annotation: Novel Incentives

- 2nd effort: LanguageARC Citizen Linguists contribute to data intensive projects [13]
- Documenting Xi’an Guanzhong
  - ~59 native speakers recorded themselves naming objects in 622 images from the MultiPic corpus [12]
  - selected for familiarity to people living in China
  - 34,729 recordings audited each for audio quality, use of the target variety
- Fearless Steps
  - LDC & University of Texas, Dallas eliciting transcripts and diarization of extremely challenging audio in the Fearless Steps corpora [16]
  - communications of NASA Apollo space missions
- Novel Incentives WS, Saturday AM
  - Les stéréotypes en français
  - From Cockney to the Queen
- Principal incentives: opportunities to learn, contribute to social good or reinforce local pride, for example by documenting an under-represented variety.
3rd: Machina Pro Linguistica for linguists and other language professionals motivated to contribute as a way to develop professional skills, supplement their learning or gain access to resources in exchange.

Penn Sociolinguistic Archive
- >5800 recordings collected over >50 years by Professor William Labov & students
- all tool building capabilities of LanguageARC
- full implementation of LDC webtrans, used within LDC for recent transcription projects

SpeechBiomarkers
- LDC presence of researchers working on novel incentives and the language of clinical interactions, led to this portal
- volunteers do brief exercises (e.g. picture description) to help establish baseline population performance for research involving clinical populations.

Novel Incentives let us expand beyond limits of funded programs, document & provide data for technology development in language varieties heretofore under-served.
Data planning, collection, annotation, critical component of research

Corpus creation for common task program
- support program needs, cognizant of the tensions present among different stakeholders
- LDC system developers (isolated from data team) provided insight in their issues [31]

Other roles could include technology evaluation [8] such as the DIHARD robust diarization evaluations

Direct Research
- improving data preparation, analytic pipeline in clinical research with CAR, FTDC, Northwell Health
- speech/language features distinguish autistic children from neurotypical children in clinical interviews [24, 25] but also when no clinical expert is leading conversation [1]
- autistic girls use pause fillers (“um”) more like neurotypicals than do autistic boys during natural conversations possibly contributing to a strategy of “linguistic camouflage” [26]
- speech/language features in brief picture description data useful in better understanding neurodegenerative conditions:
  - frontotemporal dementia [2, 21]
  - amyotrophic lateral sclerosis spectrum disorders [23]
  - progressive supranuclear palsy and corticobasal syndromes [27]
  - Alzheimer’s dementia [4]
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